# 遠方銀河観測の進展

# 小野宜昭 (東京大学宇宙線研究所)

第30回理論懇シンポジウム「星の物理の新地平」, 2017 December

#### **Recent Updates**



- Large-area optical imaging survey:
  - e.g., Subaru HSC SSP
  - (>1000 deg<sup>2</sup> down to i=26mag)
  - → identify bright rare galaxies, investigate the large-scale structure



Deep optical and near-infrared imaging survey:
 e.g., HUDF, CANDELS, HFF
 (~0.3 deg<sup>2</sup> down to H-band = 27-29.5 mag)
 → identify faint galaxies

#### Keck/MOSFIRE





**ALMA** 

Deep spectroscopy with new instruments:
 e.g., Keck MOSFIRE, VLT X-shooter, ALMA
 → detect faint emission lines

#### Contents

Galaxy Evolution

Cosmic Reionization

First Galaxies

# Luminosity Function at Low-z



(http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/level5/March12/Silk/Silk2.html)

• Bright end of the UV luminosity function at low-z

- exponential cutoff due to AGN feedback (e.g., Croton+06, Loveday+12)

#### Lyman Break Galaxies (LBGs)



Ono et al. (2017), PASJ in press, arXiv:1704.06004

## **HSC LBG Sample**



Harikane et al. (2017), PASJ in press, arXiv:1704.06535

#### **HSC LBG Sample**



Ono et al. (2017), PASJ in press, arXiv:1704.06004

## Bright End of the UV LF



- $\cdot$  Red circles: our results of the galaxy UV LFs
- $\cdot$  The bright end shape cannot be explained by the Schechter function.
- DPL and lensed Schechter provide better fits.

Ono et al. (2017), PASJ in press, arXiv:1704.06004, Bowler et al. (2015), MNRAS, 452, 1817

# Faint End of the UV LF



· Theoretical studies of galaxy formation predict a flattening at  $M_{UV} \sim -14$  mag.

Liu et al. (2016), MNRAS, 462, 235

#### Faint End of the UV LF



- · Theoretical studies of galaxy formation predict a flattening at  $M_{UV} \sim -14$  mag.
- $\cdot$  Some obsevational studies use Hubble Frontier Fields (HFFs) data and present LF measurements down to very faint magnitudes of ~ –12 mag.

#### **Uncertainty: High-z Galaxy Size**



• In the calculation of effective survey volumes, the galaxy size distribution is needed.

• However, the size distribution is not investigated well, particularly for faint galaxies.

 $\rightarrow$  faint-end shape has a large systematic uncertainty.

Bouwens et al. (2017), ApJ, 843, 41

# **Uncertainty: Cluster Mass Modeling**

Real magnitication map



high-z galaxies

Constructed magnitication map



- $\cdot$  Left: A small number of galaxies have large  $\mu$  because of the small volume.
- $\cdot$  Right: Many sources appear to have large  $\mu$  compared to the left panel.
- $\rightarrow$  too many intrinsically faint sources.

#### Faint End of the UV LF



- Faint galaxies are treated as unresolved, very small sources.
- Uncertainties of cluster mass models are considered.
- $\cdot$  Unclear whether LF gets increased or flattened at faint M\_UV.

Bouwens et al. (2017), ApJ, 843, 129; See also, Kawamata et al. (2017), arXiv:1710.07301

#### Luminosity Function of Proto-GCs



- Some lensed high-z galaxies are as small as local globular clusters (~10 pc).
- Assuming that sources w/ <40 pc are possible progenitors of globular clusters (GCs), the number densities of proto-GCs are derived and compared with model predictions.

#### **Cosmic SFR Density**



Harikane et al. (2017), PASJ in press, arXiv:1704.06535

#### **Cosmic SFR Density** 10<sup>0</sup> n: abundance $({ m Mpc}^{-3})$ 10<sup>-1</sup> z~4 z~5 z~6 z 10<sup>-2</sup> ${ m SFR}/\dot{M_{ m h}}$ $\int \frac{dm}{dlog_{0}} \frac{dm}{dlo_{0}} \frac{1}{2}$ 10<sup>-2</sup> 2 10<sup>-5</sup> $10^{6}$ $\dot{M}_{\rm h}$ : accretion rate This work (clustering) Harikane+16 (clustering) $\dot{M}_{ m h}^{ m L}$ $\dot{M}_{ m h}^{ m h}$ $\dot{M}_{ m h}^{ m 0}$ $\dot{M}_{ m 0}^{ m 2}$ $\dot{M}_{ m 10^{3}}^{ m 10^{3}}$ 10<sup>5</sup> Behroozi+13 (AM) 10<sup>-3</sup> 10 10 $M_h [M_{\odot}]$ 10<sup>2</sup> ${ m Mpc}^{-3}$ ) $ho_{ m SFR} \propto n imes \dot{M}_{ m h}$ $M_{h} = 10^{10} M$ SFR SFR/NI,-weighted $n imes \dot{M}_{ m h} imes$ $\rho_{\rm SFR}$ $\dot{M}_{ m h}$ 104 $\rho_{\rm SFR} \ ({\rm M}_\odot \ {\rm yr}^{-1}$ 10<sup>-2</sup> • The shape of the expected SFR density from the constant SFR/(dMh/dt) is L0<sup>-3</sup> similar to the observed one. 10 2 4 8 6 0 increase: halo number density zdecrease: accretion rate

Harikane et al. (2017), PASJ in press, arXiv:1704.06535

#### Contents

Galaxy Evolution

Cosmic Reionization

First Galaxies

#### Lya LF Evolution



- · Lya LF estimates based on the Subaru HSC >1000 Lya emitters (LAEs).
- · ~100 are spectroscopically confirmed.
- $\cdot$  Comparison with theoretical results
  - $\rightarrow$  x<sub>HI</sub> = 0.3 ± 0.2 at z=6.6, x<sub>HI</sub> = 0.55 ± 0.25 at z=7.3

Shibuya et al. (2017), PASJ in press, arXiv:1705.00733; Konno et al. (2017), PASJ in press, arXiv:1705.01222

#### **QSO Lya Damping Wing Absorption**



z=7.54 quasar was identified very recently (ALLWISE+UKIDSS LAS+DECaLS).
Follow-up spectroscopy with Magellan/FIRE and Gemini/GNIRS.

#### **QSO Lya Damping Wing Absorption**



- $\cdot$  z=7.54 quasar was identified very recently (ALLWISE+UKIDSS LAS+DECaLS).
- Follow-up spectroscopy with Magellan/FIRE and Gemini/GNIRS.
- Lya damping wing analysis gives  $x_{HI} = 0.56^{+0.21}_{-0.18}$ .

Banados et al. (2017), Nature in press, arXiv:1712.01860

#### Ionized Hydrogen Fraction QHII

 $(Q_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}} \equiv 1 - x_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}})$ 



lonizing photon production rate:

 $\dot{n}_{\rm ion} = \langle f_{\rm esc} \xi_{\rm ion} \rangle \rho_{\rm UV}$ 

- Key quantities:
  - +  $ho_{\rm UV}$ : UV luminosity density (Integration of UV luminosity functions)
  - +  $\xi_{ion}$ : ionizing photon production efficiency (conversion factor between UV luminosity density and ionizing photon number density)
  - +  $f_{
    m esc}$  : fraction of ionizing photons that escape from galaxies

#### UV Luminosity Density Puv



 $\cdot$  UV luminosity density = the integration of UV LFs times UV luminosities

 Measured up to around z~10, although the faint ends of UV LFs are still uncertain whose contribution to the UV luminosity density is relatively large.



 $\cdot$  H-alpha fluxes are estimated from the excess of broadband SED and converted to  $\xi_{
m ion}$ 

• Obtained down to  $M_{UV} = -19$  mag. Unexplored for fainter galaxies.

## **Ionizing Photon Escape Fraction fesc**



- Direct observations of ionizing photons from local galaxies whose properties are similar to high-z galaxies.
- $\cdot$  Escape fractions of ionizing photons f<sub>esc</sub> are estimated to be 6-13%.
- Direct measurements of f<sub>esc</sub> for high-z galaxies is difficult due to IGM abs. Should be studied with indirect methods.

#### **Reionization History**



- Simultaneous fitting to the observational data of  $\rho_{\text{UV}}$ ,  $\tau_e$ , and Q<sub>HII</sub>. Parameters:  $\langle f_{\text{esc}} \xi_{\text{ion}} \rangle$ ,  $M_{\text{trunc}}$ , functional form of  $\rho_{\text{UV}}$ .
- There exist reionization scenarios that are consistent with the observational results with  $\langle \log f_{\rm esc} \xi_{\rm ion} \rangle = 24.52^{+0.14}_{-0.07}$  and  $M_{\rm trunc} > -12.5$  mag.

Ishigaki et al. (2017), arXiv:1702.04867

#### Contents

Galaxy Evolution

Cosmic Reionization

First Galaxies

#### Previously Identified z>7 Galaxies w/ Lya



Ono et al. (2012), ApJ, 744, 83; Finkelstein et al. (2013), Nature, 502, 524 See also, lye et al. (2006), Vanzella et al. (2011), Pentericci et al. (2011), Schenker et al. (2012), Shibuya et al. (2012),

#### Unsuccessful Spec. Surveys at the EoR

Treu et al. (2013)
 Keck MOSFIRE spectroscopy for 13 candidates at z~8
 no significant detection of Lya

<u>Caruana et al. (2014)</u>
 VLT FORS2 spectroscopy for 22 candidates at z~7
 no evidence of Lya

 <u>Pentericci et al. (2014)</u>
 VLT FORS2 spectroscopy for 23 candidates at z~7 only two confirmed at z<6.7</li>

<u>Schenker et al. (2014)</u>
 Keck MOSFIRE spectroscopy for 16 candidates at z>7 only one tentative detection at z=7.62



probably due to high  $x_{HI}$  on average at the epoch of reionization.

see also Tilvi et al. (2014), Faisst et al. (2014), Vanzella et al. (2014)

#### **Excess due to Strong [OIII] Emission?**



Previously identied z>7 galaxies w/ Lya show flux excess in 4.5 μ m broadband photo.
 consistent with strong [OIII].

- Strong [OIII]  $\rightarrow$  high ionization state  $\rightarrow$  low  $N_{HI}$  or in an ionized bubble

 $\rightarrow$  easier for Lya to escape

Ono et al. (2012), ApJ, 744, 83; Finkelstein et al. (2013), Nature, 502, 524

#### Lya Identification with [OIII] Excess



- · A z~8 candidate shows a similar excess in  $4.5 \,\mu$  m.
- Follow-up Keck MOSFIRE spectroscopy reveals Lya emission at  $z_{Lya} = 7.730$ .

Oesch et al. (2015), ApJ, 804, L30

#### Lya Identification with [OIII] Excess



Roberts-Borsani et al. (2016), ApJ, 823, 143; Zitrin et al. (2015), ApJ, 810, L12

#### Identified with Low-resolution Spec.



identified at very high-z with Lyman brean in low-resolution spectra

Oesch et al. (2016), ApJ, 819, 129; Hoag et al. (2017), arXiv:1709.03992

#### ALMA Detection of [OIII]88um



#### **High-z Galaxies with Spec Confirmation**



- A number of galaxies have been spectroscopically identified at z>6.
- · Do they include Pop III star dominated galaxies?

## Pop III



- · Pop III star dominated sources would have harder SED.
- $\cdot$  One of the good Pop III probes would be HeII 1640.

#### **Bright LAEs**



Shibuya et al. (2017), PASJ in press, arXiv:1705.00733

#### CR7



Sobral et al. (2015), ApJ, 808, 139; Shibuya et al. (2017), PASJ in press, arXiv:1705.00733

#### CR7



- Updated from the original authors: Hell is not detected in the stack but marginally detect in OB3 (2.6-sigma), suggesting that only component B shows Hell?
- In OB3, ~600km/s blueshifted NV is also detected?

#### **Bright LAEs**



- z=7.730 spectroscopically confirmed galaxy with Lya EW<sub>0</sub> = 21Å.
- $M_{UV} = -22$  mag. (c.f., HSC LAEs  $M_{UV} \sim -21$  mag)
- MOSFIRE follow-up shows strong CIII emission lines with EW<sub>0</sub> = 22Å, which is about x10 larger than those of the composite spectra of z=1-3 galaxies.

Oesch et al. (2015), ApJ, 804, L30; Stark et al. (2017), MNRAS, 464, 469

#### Faint LAEs



• z=7.045 spectroscopically confirmed galaxy with Lya EW<sub>0</sub> = 65Å and  $M_{UV}$  = -19 mag.

- · CIV detected with EW<sub>0</sub> > 20Å. CIV is typically used as a signature of an AGN.
- $\cdot$  No Hell or NV.

#### **Faint LAEs**



· z=6.11 spectroscopically confirmed galaxy with Lya EW₀ = 40Å and M∪v = -20 mag.
 · CIV detected with EW₀ = 10Å. OIII] also detected. No Hell or NV.

#### **Comparisons with Theoretical Results**



- CIV-emitting faint LAEs have line ratios consistent with low metallicity hot stars.
- However, stellar population models still have large systematic uncertainties.
- (e.g., binary mass transfer, contribution from X-ray binaries)

#### Summary

#### Galaxy Evolution

The galaxy UV LF based on large/deep surveys bright end: excess from exponential decline faint end: no significant evidence of flattening

#### Cosmic Reionization

Scenarios consistent with observational results exist, although  $\rho_{UV}$ ,  $\xi_{ion}$ , and  $f_{esc}$  have large systematics.

First Galaxies

Spectroscopic identifications at z=7-11 No promising Pop III candidates