A variety of emission from tidal disruption events of a white dwarf by a black hole

## Kojiro Kawana

#### collaborators:

Keiichi Maeda, Naoki Yoshida, Ataru Tanikawa

Kawana, Maeda, Yoshida & Tanikawa (2020) Kawana, Maeda, Yoshida & Tanikawa, in prep

#### TDE of Main Sequence Hills (1975), Rees (1988), ...

# I. Approach

#### Adapted from MacLeod+ (2016)

## TDE of White DwarfCarter & Luminet (1982), Luminet & Pitchon (1989a,b),<br/>Rosswog+ (2009), ...



# TDE of White DwarfCarter & Luminet (1982), Luminet & Pitchon (1989a,b),<br/>Rosswog+ (2009), ...





Adapted from MacLeod+ (2016)



#### Motivations to study WD TDEs

- Tidal compression at pericenter
- → Shock heating & detonation
- → SN Ia-like transients?

- Range of  $M_{\rm BH}\,$  is restricted.  $R_t > R_p > R_S, R_{\rm WD}$ 

=> Max. mass of BH (Hills mass):

$$M_H \simeq 2 \times 10^5 \, M_\odot \left(\frac{M_{\rm WD}}{0.6M_\odot}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{R_{\rm WD}}{10^9 \, {\rm cm}}\right)^{3/2}$$

SMBHs cannot tidally disrupt WDs → Good probe to study IMBHs



#### Observational signatures MacLeod+ 2016

CO WD,  $M_{\rm WD} = 0.6 M_{\odot}$ ,  $M_{\rm BH} = 500 M_{\odot}$ ,  $\beta = R_t / R_p = 5.0$  Rosswog+ (2009)



#### Observational signatures MacLeod+ 2016

CO WD,  $M_{\rm WD} = 0.6 M_{\odot}$ ,  $M_{\rm BH} = 500 M_{\odot}$ ,  $\beta = R_t / R_p = 5.0$  Rosswog+ (2009)



#### Questions

- How about variety of observational signatures?
- $\rightarrow$  Observational signatures for other parameter cases?

#### Variety of WD TDEs Kawana+ (2018)

3 parameters:  $M_{WD}$ ,  $M_{BH}$ ,  $\beta$  (impact parameter)



#### Methods

Tanikawa+ (2017), Kawana+ (2018)

1. SPH simulation coupled with simplified nuclear reactions



- $M_{WD} = 0.2 Msun$ , <sup>4</sup>He composition, HELMHOLTZ EoS
- $M_{BH} = 10^{2.5}$  Msun,  $\beta := R_t / R_p = 5.0$
- $N_{particle} \simeq 800,000$
- α- chain network w/ 13 nuclear species Timmes+ (2000)
- Follow until homologous expansion is realized (2000 sec)
- 2. Detailed nucleosynthesis calculation with torch Timmes (1999)



- Follow nuclear reaction during tidal detonation phase
- 640 isotopes are considered

#### 3. Synthetic observation with Monte Carlo radiative transfer



- use HEIMDALL Maeda (2006), Maeda+ (2014)
- In 3D, under approximation of homologous expansion

#### WD TDE hydrodynamical simulations

 $M_{\rm BH} = 10^{2.5} M_{\odot}, M_{\rm WD} = 0.2 M_{\odot}, \beta = R_t / R_p = 5.0$ 



Light curve: mean over all the angle



Δt<sub>1mag</sub> ≃ 10 d, M<sub>peak</sub> ≃ -16.5 mag (L<sub>peak</sub> ≃ 1.2 x 10<sup>42</sup> erg/s)
Rapid color evolution from blue to red

12

### Light curve compared with CO WD TDE



Helium WD TDE shows faster & fainter light curve than CO WD TDE <= smaller masses of ejecta and <sup>56</sup>Ni 13

Timescale - Luminosity diagram



14

### Rapid transients found by Dark Energy Survey

Pursiainen+ (2018)



- Relatively faint, rapid transients match with our WD TDE model
- No spectra when the transients are brighter than host.

Variety of emission from WD TDEs



#### Summary

WD TDEs uniqueness: IMBH search & thermonuclear explosion

We predict observational signatures by performing SPH simulations, nucleosynthesis simulations, and radiative transfer simulations.

Helium WD TDE characteristics:

- rapid evolution ( $\Delta t_{1mag} \simeq 5-10 \text{ d}$ )
- rapid color evolution from blue to red
- Relatively faint  $L_{peak} \simeq 1-2 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg/s}$ ,  $M_{bol, peak} \simeq -16.5 \text{ mag}$

WD TDEs show a large variety depending on parameters

- Low-mass helium WD TDEs show rapid evolution. Peak luminosity ranges  $L_{bol} \simeq 10^{42}$ - $10^{43}$  erg/s.
- High-mass WD TDEs are similar to SNe Ia, but their variety is larger than that of SNe Ia.