ブラックホールまわりにおける磁気リコネクションの 抵抗性一般相対論的MHD数値シミュレーション

-Resistive GRMHD Simulations of Magnetic Reconnection around a Black Hole-

Shinji Koide (Kumamoto University) Mika Inda-Koide (Sojo University) Ryogo Morino (RKK Computer service)

高エネルギー宇宙物理学研究会2018,東京大学,2018.9.7(金)

Motivation from observation

Flare observation in core of M87: TeV-γ & VLBI (43GHz)



TeV-gamma flare clearly synchronized with VLBA flare!

Acciari et al. Science 2009. The VERITAS Collaboration, the VLBA 43 GHz M87 Monitoring Team (P.E.Hardee), the H.E.S.S. Collaboration, the MAGIC Collaboration

NRAO e-news (2009, July)



"Knot" ejection and flare correlation in solar flare events: X-ray images of plasmoid ejection associated with a solar flare (Oct. 5, 1992).





Observation of plasmoid ejection after solar flare and magnetic reconnection





X-ray image of longdurational-event (LDE) flare observed by Yohkoh satellite.

K. Shibata and T. Ohyama, Living Review of Solar Physics 2011 Magnetic bridges anchored at shear flow plasma cause magnetic reconnection to cause drastic phenomena like a flare with plasmoid ejection.



General Relativistic Magnetohydrodynamics (GRMHD)

- Ideal GRMHD
- Resistive GRMHD

To simulate plasmas in the black hole magnetosphere



Ideal GRMHD simulation of spontaneous formation of anti-parallel magnetic field around spinning black hole: Even with uniform magnetic field as its initial condition around a rotating black hole, magnetic reconnection is expected to occur!



To simulate magnetic reconnection around black hole, resistive GRMHD is required!

Covariant form of standard resistive GRMHD equations

• General relativistic equations of conservation laws:

$$\nabla_{v} \left(\stackrel{\nu}{\rho} U_{N}^{v} \right) = 0 \quad (\text{particle number})$$

$$\nabla_{v} T_{N \text{ Energy-momentum tensor}}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (\text{energy and momentum})$$
Maxwell equations: Dual tensor of $F^{\mu\nu}$

$$\nabla_{v} \stackrel{*}{F} \stackrel{\mu\nu}{F} = 0 \quad \stackrel{\text{Field strength tensor}}{\nabla_{v} F} \stackrel{\mu\nu}{F} = -J^{\mu} \stackrel{\text{field strength tensor}}{\nabla_{v} F} \stackrel{\text{field strength tensor}}{F} \stackrel{\text{field strength tensor}}{\nabla_{v} F} \stackrel{\mu\nu}{F} = -J^{\mu} \stackrel{\text{field strength tensor}}{\nabla_{v} F} \stackrel{\text{field strength tensor}}{F} \stackrel{\text{field strength tensor}}{F}$$

3+1 Formalism of Resistive GRMHD Equations

∂D Special relativistic mass density, $\gamma \rho$	(conservative form)
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} D(\hat{v} + \beta) \right]$ general relativistic effectives	(conservation of particle number)
$\frac{\partial \hat{P}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \left[\alpha \left(\hat{T} + \beta \hat{P} \right) \right] - (D + \varepsilon)$	$\nabla \alpha + \alpha f_{curv} - \hat{P} : \sigma$ (equation of motion)
special relativistic effect $\hat{P} = h\gamma\hat{v} + \hat{E}\times\hat{B}$ Special relativistic total energy density	$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}} = h\gamma^2 \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} + \left(p + \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}^2}{2} + \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}^2}{2}\right)\boldsymbol{I} - \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}\hat{\boldsymbol{B}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{E}}\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}$
$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} - D\hat{v} + \varepsilon \beta \right) \right] - \hat{P} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left[\underline{\alpha} \left(\hat{P} $	$\nabla \alpha - \hat{\underline{T}} : \sigma$ (equation of energy)
$\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \left[\boldsymbol{\alpha} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} - \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} \right) \right] \qquad \boldsymbol{\alpha}$	$\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} + \underline{\rho_{e}}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) + \frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{E}}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left[\alpha \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{B}} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{E}}\right)\right]$
$\nabla \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = 0 \qquad \rho_{\rm e} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nabla \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{E}}$	(Maxwell equations)
$\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = \frac{\eta}{\gamma} \Big[\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} - \gamma^2 \Big(\rho_{\rm e} - \Big(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \Big) \Big]$	$))\hat{v}$ (Ohm's law with finite resistivity)

We treat Ampere's law as an equation of time evolution of electric field (Watanabe & Yokoyama 2006).

Longer term ideal GRMHD simulation of uniformly magnetized plasmas around Kerr BH



⇒ sprit monopole-like magnetic field in ergosphere

⇒ magnetic reconnection in ergosphere



Results: Simulations of magnetic reconnection around Schwarzschild black hole by resistive GRMHD

Initial condition: Split monopole magnetic field around Schwarzschild black hole

- Black Hole: $a \equiv \frac{J}{J_{\text{max}}} = 0$ (black hole spin parameter)
- Magnetic Field : Split monopole magnetic field

$$B_{r} = B_{0} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \tanh\left(\frac{\theta - \pi/2}{\Delta \theta}\right), B_{\theta} = B_{\phi} = 0,$$

$$B_{0} = B\left(R = 0, z = r_{s}\right)$$

Vertical equilibrium around current sheet
due to Harris magnetic field

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_{0}}{\sqrt{2Mr^{3}}}, \quad p = \frac{B_{0}^{2}}{2r^{4}} \frac{1}{\cosh^{2}\left((\theta - \pi/2)/\Delta\theta\right)} + p_{b}$$

$$\hat{v}^{r} = -0.8\sqrt{\frac{2M}{r}}, \quad \hat{v}^{\phi} = \hat{v}^{\theta} = 0, \qquad p_{b} = \frac{\beta_{p}B_{0}^{2}}{8\pi}\rho^{\Gamma}$$

$$\beta_{p} = 0.025$$

Magnetic reconnection with uniform resistivity in split-monopole magnetic field around black holes

Schwarzschild black hole case



a = 0 $\rho_0 = 1$ $B_0 = 10, \quad \Delta \theta = 0.1$ $\eta = 0.001 r_{\rm s}$ (uniform) (S = 100)Magnetic Reynolds number: $S = \frac{\delta_{\rm c} v_{\rm A}}{\delta_{\rm c}}$ Color: pressure

Lines: magnetic field Arrows: velocity

Magnetic reconnection with uniform resistivity in split-monopole magnetic field around black holes

Schwarzschild black hole case:



$$\eta = 0.001 r_{\rm s} \ (S = 100)$$

Petschek type fast magnetic reconnection is found even with uniform resistivity!

$$\rho_0 = 1 \qquad a = 0$$

 $B_0 = 10, \quad \Delta\theta = 0.1$

Color: pressure Lines: magnetic field Arrows: velocity

Magnetic reconnection with uniform resistivity in split-monopole magnetic field around black holes

"Diffusive slip-through rate of magnetic field lines across plasma"





Time evolution of magnetic reconnection rate: Dependence on resistivity



Dependence of power index of reconnection rate on resistivity



Models of magnetic reconnection



(S>>1 except for reconnection region)

Magnetic reconnection rate

 $\eta = 0.001r_{\rm S}, \quad \delta_{\rm CS} = 0.1, \quad v_{\rm A} = 1, \quad B_0 = 1 \sim 10$ $\tau_{\rm A} = 0.1\tau_{\rm S}, \quad S = 100 \quad \text{Thickness of current sheet}$ $\tau_{\rm A} = \frac{\delta_{\rm CS}}{v_{\rm A}} \quad \text{(Alfven transit time)} \quad S = \frac{\delta_{\rm CS}v_{\rm A}}{\eta} \quad \text{(Magnetic Reynolds number)}$

• Sweet-Parker model: possible even in uniform resistivity

$$R_{\rm mr} = \frac{v_{\rm in}}{v_{\rm A}} = \frac{\delta}{L} \cong \frac{1}{S^{1/2}} = 0.1$$

• Petschek model: anomalous resistivity is required

$$R_{\rm mr} = \frac{v_{\rm in}}{v_{\rm A}} \cong \frac{\pi}{8\ln S} = 0.085$$

Simulations with much lower resistivity ($\eta \le 10^{-4}$) are required.

A "Toy" model of the fast magnetic reconnection in ergoshpere: Mechanism of "Daruma-Otoshi"

Model: Simple magnetic reconnection caused by localized current density αJ_{ϕ} in thin current sheet





Daruma-otoshi: Japanese traditional toy. When one piece is hit to go out, the pieces upper and lower collide.

Correlation between reconnection rate and thickness of current sheet





thickness of current sheet around the X-point

a = 0 $\rho_0 = 1$ $B_0 = 10$ $\Delta \theta = 0.1$

Summary

- We performed resistive GRMHD simulations of magnetic reconnection in split monopole field around Schwarzschild black hole (the simplest situation).
- We found magnetic reconnection like Petchek model reconnection which has point-like reconnection region. The time evolution of the reconnection rate shows power law. The power index depends on the resistivity. We cannot identify the reconnection around the black hole as known reconnection models.
- A simple toy model: thinner current sheet is induced by the reconnection outflows and the localized current density in the current sheet induces the reconnection..

Future plan

Simulations with much lower resistivity ($\eta \le 10^{-4}$) are required.