Dynamical signature of triple systems including inner binary black-holes







Hayashi, Wang & YS: ApJ 890(2020)112 Hayashi & YS: ApJ 897(2020)29, ApJ 907(2021)48 Yasushi Suto Department of Physics and Research Center for the Early Universe, The University of Tokyo 13:00 February 15, 2023 @Niels Bohr Institute

Generic picture of binary BH evolution



How to unveil those possible progenitor BBHs without GW ?

Proposals to search for *star-BH binaries*

Gaia mission (2013-)

Astrometry of stars in Galaxy ~ 10^9 stars eventually RV with 200-350m/s precision for brightest stars (Katz 2018)



Yamaguchi+ (2018)

5-year mission may detect 200-1000 star-BH binaries

TESS mission (2018-)

photometry of nearby stars (~ 12mag) transit planets

Masuda & Hotokezaka (2019)

Light curve modulation (relativistic effects, tidal deformation) $\Rightarrow (10 - 100)$ star-BH binaries may be identified



Some of them may be indeed <u>a star-binary BH triple</u>! Can precise radial velocity follow-up unveil the inner BBH?

Radial velocity modulation of a tertiary star due to an inner binary



RV modulations for coplanar triples



RV modulations for non-coplanar triples







Kepler motion + Short-term RV variations (inner-binary perturbation)

(ii) Non-coplanar triple

high-precision RV follow-up

Keplerian motion RV

+ **RV** variations by inner binary *K*_{Kep}

Inclination $I_{out}(t)$ modulated by precession and ZKL effect

$$P_{\text{out}} \quad K_{\text{Kep}}(t) = K_0 \sin I_{\text{out}}(t)$$

$$Amplitude of Kepler RV varies with a longer$$

timescale

Parameters for simulated triple systems



 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{P}_{out} = 78.9 \text{ days} \\ \mathsf{P}_{in} = 10 \text{ days} \\ \text{equal-mass binary 10M}_{\odot} + 10M_{\odot} \\ \text{unequal-mass binary 2M}_{\odot} + 18M_{\odot} \end{array}$

Hayashi & YS 2020, ApJ, 897, 29

Model	Iout (deg)	I _{in} (deg)	i _{mut} (deg)	$m_1~(M_\odot)$	$m_2~(M_\odot)$	e_{in}
P1010	90	90	0	10	10	10^{-5}
PE1010	90	90	0	10	10	0.2
R1010	90	270	180	10	10	10^{-5}
O1010	0	90	90	10	10	10^{-5}
11010	0	45	45	10	10	10^{-5}
P0218	90	90	0	18	2	10^{-5}
PE0218	90	90	0	18	2	0.2
R0218	90	270	180	18	2	10^{-5}
O0218	0	90	90	18	2	10^{-5}
10218	0	45	45	18	2	10^{-5}

Note. P, PE, R, O, and I indicate prograde, prograde eccentric, retrograde, orthogonal, and inclined orbits.

Coplanar prograde circular triples: radial velocity modulation at ~ $2v_{in}$

equal-mass inner binary

Simulation against Perturbative model (Morais & Correia 2008, 2012)

$$u_{-3} \equiv 2\nu_{\rm in} - 3\nu_{\rm out}, \quad \nu_{ir}$$
 $\nu_{-1} \equiv 2\nu_{\rm in} - \nu_{\rm out}. \quad orb$

ν_{in}: inner orbital frequency







Evolution of radial velocity for non-coplanar triples



Z

PSR J0337+1715: triple architecture revealed



by pulsar timing analysis

PSR J0337+1715 @ 1.3kpc 1.629401788(5) day inner orbital period (pulsar+WD) outer orbital period (WD) 327.257541(7) day pulsar spin period 2.73258863244(9) msec on 0.0120(17) deg. highly circular & coplanar ! mutual orbital inclination 1.4378(13) M_. **Pulsar mass** 0.19751(15) M Inner WD mass 0.4101(3) ${ m M}_{\odot}$ **Outer WD mass**

Ransom et al. Nature 505 (2014) 520

Radial velocity vs. Pulsar arrival timing

Radial velocity monitoring

- High-resolution spectroscopy required for 10 m/s precision
- \Rightarrow Limited to targeted monitoring of nearby & bright stars O(10)pc

Pulsar arrival timing analysis

- Very precise measurement feasible
- can survey almost the entire Galaxy O(kpc)
- Systematic survey (Pulsar Timing Array) already on-going

The fraction of triples with a tertiary star (RV) or a tertiary pulsar is largely unknown, and therefore they are complementary. It is worthwhile to explore simultaneously

Pulsar arrival time delays

Unperturbed Rømer delay

due to the unperturbed Keplerian motion of a tertiary pulsar around the center of mass of the inner binary

Relativistic delays

- Einstein delay (gravitational redshift due to the eccentric orbit)
- Shapiro delay (photon travel time change due to the space curvature)
- Perturbed Rømer delay modulation
 - due to perturbed Keplerian motion of a tertiary pulsar from the inner binary motion



A Danish astronomer Ole Christensen Rømer (1644-1710)

Examples of pulsar arrival timing curves for triples

Based on analytic expressions by Backer & Hellings (1986) and Morais & Correia 2008, 2011)

> $m_1 = m_2 = 10 M_{\odot}$ $m_3 = 1.4 M_{\odot}$ $P_{out} = 100 \text{ days}$ $P_{in} = 10 \text{ days}$

Model CC (Coplanar Circular)

•
$$e_{out} = 0.01$$
, $e_{in} = 0.0$, $i_{mut} = 0^{\circ}$

Model CE (Coplanar Eccentric)

•
$$e_{out} = 0.3$$
, $e_{in} = 0.02$, $i_{mut} = 0^{\circ}$

Model IC (Inclined Circular)

•
$$e_{out} = 0.01$$
, $e_{in} = 0.0$, $i_{mut} = 4$

Hayashi & YS (2020)



Unveiling the triple system parameters from the pulsar arrival timing analysis



Hayashi & YS (2020)

Constraints and predictions for NS binaries



strain

Circular and equal-mass inner binaries assumed

⇒ Larger P_{in} :
 detectable/
 excluded
 by pulsar timing

Dynamical signature of inner binary black holes in triple systems Radial velocity (RD) monitoring of future star-black

hole binary candidates may reveal inner binary black holes (instead of single black holes) in those systems

short-term RD variations
Hayashi, Wang + YS: ApJ 890(2020)112

periodic modulations of O(1) percent of the Kepler orbital velocity amplitude with a half inner orbital period Hayashi + YS:

ApJ 897(2020)29

Iong-term RD variations in inclined triples

 the semi-amplitude of the Kepler orbital velocity modulated periodically by the precession of the inner and outer orbits over (10-100)(P_{out}/P_{in})P_{out}

Conclusions Everything not forbidden by the laws of nature is mandatory — Carl Sagan "Contact" Methodologies to search for wideseparation binary BHs (likely but hidden progenitors of binary BHs detected by LIGO) Radial velocity of tertiary stars: nearby star-BH system if detected from Gaia and/or **TESS** surveys

Arrival timing of tertiary pulsars: (even more distant) pulsar—BH systems if detected from future pulsar surveys

