Log-normal fluctuations in intracluster medium and their impact on X-ray and SZ cluster observations



Yasushi Suto Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo The 3rd KIAS Workshop on COSMOLOGY AND STRUCTURE FORMATION, Oct. 27 - 28, 2008, Seoul

### Recent work of Observational Cosmology Group, University of Tokyo (1)

#### Large-scale structure of the universe

- precise modeling of BAO for future dark energy survey: HSC & WFMOS on Subaru (Nishimichi et al. 2007,2008, Taruya et al. 2007, 2008)
- bispectrum and nonlinear biasing of galaxies (Nishimichi et al. 2007)
- constraints on the deviation from Newton's law of gravity from SDSS (Shirata et al. 2005, 2007)
- prospects to constrain modified gravity models from future surveys (Yamamoto et al. 2006, 2007)
- Galactic dust map against SDSS galaxy surface density distribution (Yahata et al. 2007)

 Recent work of Observational Cosmology Group, University of Tokyo (2)
 Spectroscopy of transiting extrasolar planets

 constraints on planetary atmosphere (Winn et al. 2004; Narita et al. 2005)

detection of the spin-orbit (mis)alignment via the Rossiter effect

(Ohta, Taruya & Suto 2005, 2009; Winn et al. 2005, 2006, 2007; Narita et al. 2007)

 a feasibility study to detect vegetation/plant signatures on exoplanets (Fujii et al. in preparation)

#### Recent work of Observational Cosmology Group, University of Tokyo (3) WHIM:Warm/hot intergalactic medium

- a proposal of oxygen emission line survey with DIOS (Yoshikawa et al. 2003, 2004)
- feasibility of an absorption line search with XEUS toward bright quasars and GRB afterglow (Kawahara et al. 2006)

#### Dark halos and galaxy clusters

 triaxial modeling of dark matter halos (Jing & Suto 2002; Oguri, Lee & Suto 2003; Lee, Jing & Suto 2005)

 log-normal model for intra-cluster gas fluctuations and its impact on X-ray and SZ observations (Kawahara et al. 2006, 2007,2008)

Toward a more realistic model of galaxy clusters beyond a spherical homogeneous isothermal  $\beta$ -model numerical simulations empirical/analytic modeling comparison with observations non-sphericity (i.e., triaxial) temperature profile (i.e., polytrope) inhomogeneity in intra-cluster medium

### **Collaborators and references**

Hajime Kawahara (Univ. of Tokyo), Erik Reese (Univ. of Tokyo), Tetsu Kitayama (Toho Univ.), Shin Sasaki (Tokyo Metropolitan Univ.)

Kawahara et al. (2007)

 Radial Profile and Lognormal Fluctuations of the Intracluster Medium as the Origin of Systematic Bias in Spectroscopic Temperature ApJ 659(2007)257

#### Kawahara, Kitayama, Sasaki + YS (2008)

Systematic Errors in the Hubble Constant Measurement from the Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect ApJ 674(2008)11

Kawahara, Reese, Kitayama, Sasaki + YS (2009)

Extracting galaxy cluster gas inhomogeneity from X-ray surface brightness: a statistical approach and application to Abell 3667 arXiv:0807.2525, ApJ (2009) in press Simulated clusters in the local universe

SPH simulations (Dolag et al. 2005)
 Local universe distribution in a sphere of r=110Mpc

 Initial condition: the observed galaxy density field of IRAS 1.2 Jy survey (smoothing over 5h<sup>-1</sup>Mpc), linearly evolving back to z=50 + random Gaussian fluctuations on smaller scales

 + cooling, star formation, SN feedback, and metalicity evolution in ΛCDM

## Simulated local universe

(2005) al. Dolag et



### Projected views of *simulated clusters*

Coma



Virgo









#### modeling ICM fluctuations (1) mean (spherical) radial profile



Density and temperature radial profiles of simulated clusters **Polytropic**  $\beta$ model  $3\beta/2$  $\langle n \rangle (r) = n_0$  $1 + (r/r_c)^2$ 

Kawahara et al. ApJ 659 (2007)257

#### modeling ICM fluctuations (2) log-normal PDF for local inhomogeneity



Local inhomogeneities of density and temperature of simulated clusters •  $\delta_n = n(r, \theta, \phi) / < n > (r)$ •  $\delta_{T} = T(r, \theta, \phi) / \langle T \rangle (r)$ 

Log-normal PDF provides reasonable approximations

> $(\log \delta + \sigma^2/2)^2$  $d\delta$

11

Kawahara et al. ApJ 659 (2007)257

## Implications

① underestimate bias for X-ray spectroscopic temperature of clusters

2 underestimate bias for H<sub>0</sub> estimate from SZ observations

reconstruction of the 3D ICM fluctuation
 distribution from X-ray surface brightness
 (2D) observational data

## mass-weighted, emission-weighted, and spectroscopic temperatures of clusters

$$\left\langle T\right\rangle_{W} = \frac{\int T W dV}{\int W dV}$$

	definition	W (weight)	
T <sub>m</sub>	mass-weighted	n	
T <sub>ew</sub>	emission-weighted	n²Λ(T)	simulation
T <sub>spec</sub>	spectroscopic	spectral fit	observation
T <sub>sl</sub>	spectroscopic-like	n²T <sup>−0.75</sup>	Mazzotta et al. (2004)

## $T_{spec}$ is systematically smaller than $T_{ew}$



Mazzotta et al. (2004) & Rasia et al. (2005) found T<sub>spec</sub> ~0.7 ew from simulations Simulated clusters of Dolag et al. (2005)  $T_{spec} \sim 0.85 T_{ew}$ (see also Mathiesen & Evrard 2001)

Kawahara et al. ApJ 659 (2007)257

## An analytic model for T<sub>spec</sub>/T<sub>ew</sub>

- Spherical polytropic β-model as global mean radial profiles
- Log-normal density and temperature fluctuations
  - Density and temperature correlations ignored
  - Radius independent dispersion adopted
- ⇒ We obtain an analytic model for the temperature underestimate, T<sub>sl</sub>/T<sub>ew</sub>
  - Explain numerical simulations well

Kawahara et al. ApJ 659 (2007)257

## Implications

- underestimate bias for X-ray spectroscopic temperature of clusters
- ② underestimate bias for H<sub>0</sub> estimate from SZ observations
- reconstruction of the 3D ICM fluctuation
   distribution from X-ray surface brightness
   (2D) observational data

## H<sub>0</sub> estimated from the SZ effect



 WMAP:
 73±3 km/s/Mpc (Spergel et al. 07)
 ROSAT+SZ:
 60±3 km/s/Mpc (Reese et al. 2002)

Systematically lower ?

But Bonamente et al. (2006) reported that 76.9<sup>+3.9</sup>-3.4<sup>+10.0</sup>-8.0 km/s/Mpc from Chandra+SZ. 17

## Isothermal $\beta$ -model fit

Polytropic density and temperature profiles

$$< n > (r) = n_0 \left[ \frac{1}{1 + (r/r_c)^2} \right]^{3\beta/2}$$
  
 $< T > (r) = T [< n > (r) / n^{\gamma/2}]$ 

core radius estimated from X-ray + SZ  

$$r_{c,iso\beta}(T_{spec}) = \frac{y(0)^2}{S_X(0)} \frac{m_e^2 c^4 \Lambda(T_{spec})}{4\pi (\sigma_T k T_{spec})^2 (1+z)^4} \frac{G(\beta_{fit})}{G(\beta_{fit}/2)^2}$$

$$\beta_{fit} = \beta \frac{\gamma + 3}{4}$$

#### Analytic modeling of SZ $H_0$ measurement

- Spherical polytropic  $\beta$  -model as mean radial profiles
- Log-normal density and temperature fluctuations
- Still force to fit to the isothermal  $\beta$  -model, and the estimated H<sub>0</sub> is biased as Kawahara et al. ApJ 674(2008)11

$$f_{H,polyLN|iso\beta} \equiv \frac{H_{0,est}}{H_{0,true}} = \chi_{\sigma} \chi_{T}(T_{ew}) \frac{\chi_{T}(T_{spec})}{\chi_{T}(T_{ew})}$$

inhomogeneity  $\chi_{\sigma} = \exp(\sigma_{LN,n}^2 - \sigma_{LN,T}^2/8) \approx (1.1 - 1.3)$ non-isothermality  $\chi_{T}(T_{ew}) = J(\beta, \gamma, r_{c} / r_{vir})^{1.5} \left[ \frac{G(\beta(\gamma + 3) / 8)}{G(\beta \gamma / 2)} \right]^{2} \approx (0.7 - 0.9)$ temperature bias  $\frac{\chi_{T}(T_{spec})}{\chi_{T}(T_{ew})} \approx \left( \frac{T_{spec}}{T_{ew}} \right)^{1.5} \approx (0.8 - 0.9)$ 19

#### Analytic model vs simulated clusters



Mean values are in good agreement with the analytic model

 Additional small bias expected due to non-sphericity of clusters even after averaging over l.o.s. angles Kawahara et al. ApJ 674(2008)11 20

#### Non-spherical effect : triaxial clusters



Synthetic triaxial clusters (Jing & YS 2002)
 + polytropic β + log-normal fluctuations
 Kawahara et al. ApJ 674(2008)11



## Implications

- underestimate bias for X-ray spectroscopic temperature of clusters
- 2 underestimate bias for H<sub>0</sub> estimate from SZ observations
- ③ reconstruction of the 3D ICM fluctuation distribution from X-ray surface brightness
   (2D) observational data

## Do observed (≠simulated) clusters show log-normal signature ?

- So far we did not directly confirm the presence of log-normal fluctuations in real ICM
- projection effect: 2D surface brightness PDF from the 3D log-normal PDF in density and temperature ?
- how to reconstruct the 3D log-normal nature from the observed 2D X-ray data ?

Kawahara, Reese et al. arXiv:0807.2525, ApJ (2009) in press

# 2D projection effect: test with simulated clusters



if a prominent substructure resides in a quarter, the quarter is removed in the analysis



 3D log-normal PDF of gas and temperature results in 2D log-normal PDF of X-ray surface brightness

## **Application to Abell 3667**



 $\sigma_{\text{LN,Sx,A3667}}$ =0.3: consistent with other simulated clusters Kawahara, Reese et al. arXiv:0807.2525,ApJ (2009) in press

# a remaining question: origin of log-normal fluctuations ?

- generic statement
  - addition of independent random fields
     ⇒ Gaussian
  - multiplication of independent random fields
     ⇒ log-normal
- Indeed log-normal distribution is very common in a variety of phenomena
  - cosmological density field
  - surface density of interstellar medium
  - self-gravity ? turbulence ?

## log-normal PDF in cosmological density field



initial condition:random-Gaussian
 collisionless N-body simulation
 approximately log-normal PDF due
 to nonlinear gravity (>a few Mpc)

$$P_{LN}(\delta) = \frac{1}{(1+\delta)\sqrt{2\pi\ln(1+\sigma^2)}} \exp\left[-\frac{[\ln\sqrt{1+\sigma^2}(1+\delta)]^2}{2\ln(1+\sigma^2)}\right]$$

empirical model for non– Gaussianity generated by cosmological nonlinear gravitational evolution for 0.1<  $\rho / < \rho > <1000$ Kayo, Taruya & Suto, ApJ 561(2001)22

#### log-normal PDF for interstellar medium in Galactic disk (2D hydrodynamic simulation)



log-normal behavior in high-density regions for  $10^2 < \rho / < \rho > < 10^6$ Wada & Norman ApJ 547(2001)172 <sub>29</sub>



## Summary Analytic modeling of ICM fluctuations for the first time: log-normal PDF

- Previous claim of the cluster temperature underestimate bias
- It is consistent with Chandra data of A3667
- H<sub>0,est</sub>/H<sub>0,true</sub> = 0.8-0.9 is expected for SZ due to fluctuations and non-isothermality of ICM
  - Consistent with Reese et al. (2002), but not with Bonamente et al. (2006)
  - Direct comparison between ROSAT and Chandra analyses is now in progress (Reese et al. 2009)